

## Exhibit 3

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA**

IN RE: PORK ANTITRUST LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

ALL ACTIONS BROUGHT BY THE  
COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO

Case No. 0:18-cv-01776-JRT-JFD

**DEFENDANT SEABOARD  
FOODS LLC'S PROPOSED  
AMENDED AFFIRMATIVE  
DEFENSES TO PUERTO RICO  
COMPLAINT**

**AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

Seaboard does not concede that it has the burden of proof on any defense set forth below. Seaboard reserves the right to assert any additional affirmative or avoidance defenses as may become applicable and apparent during the course of this action.

**FIRST DEFENSE**

Plaintiff fails, in whole or in part, to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

**SECOND DEFENSE**

Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because any alleged injuries and damages were not legally or proximately caused by any acts or omissions of Defendants and/or were caused, if at all, solely and proximately by the conduct of Plaintiff itself or third parties including, without limitations, the prior, intervening or superseding conduct of such Plaintiff or third parties.

**THIRD DEFENSE**

Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because Plaintiff failed to mitigate any damages it may have suffered.

**FOURTH DEFENSE**

Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of waiver.

**FIFTH DEFENSE**

Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of estoppel.

**SIXTH DEFENSE**

Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of laches.

**SEVENTH DEFENSE**

Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the applicable statute of limitations.

**EIGHTH DEFENSE**

Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, due to the ratification of, and consent to, the conduct of Seaboard.

**NINTH DEFENSE**

Without admitting the existence of any contract, combination or conspiracy in restraint of trade, and expressly denying same, Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by non-settling Defendants' right to set off any amounts paid to Plaintiff by any Defendants who have settled, or do settle, Plaintiff's claims against them in this action.

**TENTH DEFENSE**

Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, to the extent they seek improper multiple damage awards, and damage awards duplicative of those sought in other actions, in violation of the Due Process guarantees of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution.

**ELEVENTH DEFENSE**

Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because Seaboard's conduct did not cause harm to competition in any relevant market.

**TWELFTH DEFENSE**

To the extent Plaintiff claims that Seaboard fraudulently concealed any actions, Plaintiff has failed to allege this fraud with the particularity required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 9(b).

**THIRTEENTH DEFENSE**

Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because any alleged anti-competitive effects of the conduct alleged by Plaintiff do not outweigh the pro-competitive benefits.

**FOURTEENTH DEFENSE**

Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because of the *Copperweld* doctrine.

**FIFTEENTH DEFENSE**

Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because Seaboard acted unilaterally, in furtherance of its independent business interests.

**SIXTEENTH DEFENSE**

Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because any contracts or agreements between Seaboard and any other person were lawful business arrangements and cannot support a claim that Seaboard engaged in any unlawful conspiracy.

**SEVENTEENTH DEFENSE**

Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because it did not suffer antitrust injury or injury-in-fact.

**EIGHTEENTH DEFENSE**

Plaintiff's damages claims are barred, in whole or in part, because it has suffered no damages or because the damages it seeks are speculative and uncertain.

**NINETEENTH DEFENSE**

Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrines of *in pari delicto*, involvement, participation, equal involvement, complete involvement, and unclean hands.

**TWENTIETH DEFENSE**

Seaboard adopts by reference any applicable defense pleaded by any other Defendant that is not otherwise expressly set forth in this Answer.

**TWENTY-FIRST DEFENSE**

Seaboard reserves the right to assert other defenses as this action proceeds up to and including the time of trial.

**TWENTY-SECOND DEFENSE**

Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, to the extent Plaintiff seeks to impose liability on Seaboard based on the exercise of any person or entity's right to petition

federal, state, and local legislative bodies, because such conduct was immune under the *Noerr-Pennington* doctrine and privileged under the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

Dated: August 29, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/s/

Peter J. Schwingler (#0388909)  
JONES DAY  
90 South Seventh Street, Suite 4950  
Minneapolis, MN 55402  
(612) 217-8800  
pschwingler@jonesday.com

Jordan M. Baumann  
JONES DAY  
325 John H. McConnell Boulevard, Suite  
600  
Columbus, OH 43215-2673  
(614) 469-3939  
jbaumann@jonesday.com

William L. Greene (#0198730)  
William D. Thomson (#0396743)  
STINSON LLP  
50 South Sixth Street, Suite 2600  
Minneapolis, MN 55402  
(612) 335-1500  
william.greene@stinson.com  
william.thomson@stinson.com

J. Nicci Warr (*pro hac vice*)  
STINSON LLP  
7700 Forsyth Blvd., Suite 1100  
St. Louis, MO 63105  
(314) 863-0800  
nicci.warr@stinson.com

*Counsel for Seaboard Foods LLC*